

1 5. Mr. Kennedy stressed that the purchase of a paging
2 license through On Line would be a very good investment for me.
3 He said that On Line charged anywhere from roughly \$6,000 to
4 roughly \$10,000 to apply for a license, depending on the
5 population of the city that the license would cover. He
6 discussed how other licenses comparable to the one I would be
7 acquiring have sold for at least \$20,000, and implied that he was
8 fairly confident that I could sell my license for such a figure.
9 He also said that it was foreseeable that I would receive offers
10 to lease my license, in which case I could receive an income
11 stream of \$5,000 or \$6,000 per year. According to Mr. Kennedy,
12 no individual or business can acquire more than one license for
13 each city directly from the FCC so that if businesses want to
14 acquire enough frequencies to provide services, they must lease
15 it from individuals such as On Line's investors.

16 6. Mr. Kennedy implied that I was very likely to make money
17 if I invested. Though he said that there was "no guarantee," he
18 also did not to my knowledge describe the nature of the risk that
19 I might lose. He also said that I should expect to receive
20 multiple offers for my license -- indeed, Mr. Kennedy said, our
21 object would be to arrange a bidding war for the license.
22 Further, Mr. Kennedy said that On Line would serve as my agent in
23 marketing the license for me.


24 7. Mr. Kennedy did not disclose to me that the license I
25 would receive would expire within a year. After speaking to him,
26 I spoke to a representative of the FCC who gave me that
27 information. When I confronted On Line about the expiration of
28

1 the license, I was told that I would easily be able to renew the
2 license.

3 8. After thinking about On Line's presentation, I decided
4 not to invest with the company.

5 I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
6 is true and correct.

7 Dated: 10/20/95

8 A large, dark, irregular redacted area covering the signature and possibly the name of the certifier.

1
2
3 **DECLARATION OF [REDACTED]**

4 I [REDACTED] pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby
5 declare as follows:

6 1. My name is [REDACTED]. I am a U.S. citizen over 18
7 years of age. I am the principal of an [REDACTED]

8 My business address is [REDACTED],
9 [REDACTED]

10 2. I have personal knowledge about the facts set forth
11 below.

12 3. During the past year, I have dealt with two different
13 companies that sold investments in telecommunications licenses.
14 During Spring 1995, I was dealing with a business named Incomco.
15 The salesperson I worked with at Incomco identified herself as
16 Gloria Reagan. In June 1995, Ms. Reagan called me on the
17 telephone and said that she now represented a business named On
18 Line Communications ("On Line"). I spoke to her on different
19 occasions during the early-summer, and finally decided to invest.
20 On July 13, 1995, I paid On Line a check for \$9,990. In return,
21 Ms. Reagan said, On Line would fill out the paperwork that would
22 enable me to acquire licenses to provide paging services in the
23 Atlanta, Georgia area. By such services, I am referring to those
24 paging services that can be provided over the 929-930 MHz
25 frequencies, which are referenced to in a document that I
26 received from On Line and that is attached as Exhibit 1.

27 4. Prior to the time I invested with On Line, I received
28 various documents. Included in these documents is a facsimile,

1 dated June 28, 1995, which included the names of various cities.

2 A true and correct copy of this facsimile is attached as Exhibit

3 2. Ms. Reagan told me that, as of the time she was sending out
4 the facsimile, the cities on the list that were not crossed out
5 were still open for investors like myself to acquire licenses.

6 It was my understanding that the licenses would be granted on a
7 first-come first-served basis, and I could get my money back if
8 the city I chose was sold out. The facsimile also listed the
9 price that I would have to pay On Line to obtain licenses for
10 each of the cities on the list.

11 5. I filled out an application form that On Line provided
12 me. Attached as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of portions
13 of this application form as well as other documents that On Line
14 provided me, either before or shortly after I invested with On
15 Line. Around late-September or early-October 1995 I received a
16 929.08750 MHz paging license and a 929.16250 MHz paging license.
17 Attached as Exhibit 4 are true and correct copies of the licenses
18 I received.

19 6. Before I invested with On Line, I spoke to Ms. Reagan
20 about the value of the licenses that she was marketing. She led
21 me to believe that there would be a number of offers for the
22 licenses at different prices, but an offer of three to five times
23 the amount I would be investing would be a likely price that I
24 could receive. She told me that I may be receiving offers to
25 lease my licenses, as well as offers to sell. According to Ms.
26 Reagan, an offer to lease might be even better than one to sell.

27 7. To the best of my recollection, Ms. Reagan did not talk
28 to me about the risk that I would lose money if I invested with

1 On Line. The impression I received from my conversations with
2 her was that I was highly likely to make a profit.

3 8. Ms. Reagan informed me that the Federal Communications
4 Commission ("FCC") would only grant a limited number of licenses
5 in a particular region to each paging company. She said that the
6 FCC was concerned about paging companies monopolizing the market.
7 The result, Ms. Reagan explained, was that paging companies would
8 not obtain directly from the FCC the number of licenses that they
9 needed to provide paging services. Therefore, I was told, they
10 would have to go to investors like me to acquire additional
11 licenses.

12 9. In my discussions with Ms. Reagan, I felt a sense of
13 urgency. She indicated that I should get the licenses now, for
14 they soon might not be available.


15 10. Ms. Reagan did not indicate to me prior to the time I
16 invested that I would have to pay any additional money after I
17 obtained the licenses. I was told that once I got the licenses,
18 Ms. Reagan would help me market the licenses and not charge me
19 any more money. On September 21, 1995, two months after I
20 invested with On Line, Ms. Reagan called me and told me that I
21 should consider hooking up with a broker who could help me sell
22 the licenses, named Dennis Harding. I was told that Mr. Harding
23 would get \$125 as an up-front fee, plus a percentage of the money
24 that comes in from the sale of the licenses.

25 11. I do not believe that I discussed with Ms. Reagan the
26 question of whether my licenses would expire. I did not think
27 about the licenses expiring.

1 12. As of the date of this declaration, I have received no
2 offers to purchase or lease my licenses.
3

4 I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
5 is true and correct.
6

7 Dated: 11-21-95
8
9
10
11

A large, dark, irregular redacted area covering the signature of the declarant.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF [REDACTED]

I, [REDACTED], pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby
declare as follows:

1. My name is [REDACTED]. I am a U.S. citizen over 18
years of age. I reside at [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

2. I have personal knowledge about the facts set forth
below.

3. In June 1995, and again in July 1995, I invested money
with a business named On Line Communications, Inc. ("On Line").
I learned about On Line when I was called on the telephone during
late-Spring 1995. I had never before heard of On Line.

4. The salesperson who first persuaded me to invest with On
Line identified himself as Bill Cook. Mr. Cook indicated that On
Line would help me apply and obtain a license to provide paging
services for some metropolitan area in the United States. He
said that these licenses are quite valuable, and would be worth
several times what I would be paying On Line to obtain the
license.

5. In June 1995, I paid On Line \$5,990 (which does not
include a \$15 wire transfer fee) to obtain a license to provide
paging services in Nashville, Tennessee. Attached as Exhibit 1
is a copy of a document reflecting this payment. I assumed that
I would be receiving an exclusive license (that is, I wouldn't
have to share the frequency), because Mr. Cook did not mention
that I would be getting a shared license. Mr. Cook told me that
there would be several companies that would call me with offers
to buy the license. According to Mr. Cook, large companies would

1 buy these licenses from small investors like myself. He also
2 told me that if I lease the license, I could get \$20,000-\$30,000
3 up front, plus somewhere in the neighborhood of \$2,000-\$3,000 per
4 month. Mr. Cook implied that the large companies could not
5 receive from the FCC all of the licenses that they wanted without
6 going to smaller investors like myself.

7
8 7. Mr. Cook gave me a sense of urgency that I must apply
9 for my license quickly if I wanted to get it. He explained that
10 the opportunity was only available to me for a short period of
11 time after which the license would no longer be available from
the FCC.

12 8. Never did I discuss with Mr. Cook the possibility that I
13 would be interested in building a paging system myself. Rather,
14 we discussed the idea that I would be receiving offers from
15 companies that wanted licenses, not built out systems.

16 9. I do not recall Mr. Cook mentioning that there was any
17 risk involved in the investment. He clearly made the investment
18 sound I would not have to worry, and was highly likely to make
19 money.

20 10. I also do not recall being told that licenses I was
21 acquiring might expire. In fact, I was told by one of the On
22 Line representatives with whom I talked that once I leased my
23 license, I could keep it until I died and could pass it on to my
24 heir.

25 11. On July 24, 1995, I invested with On Line for a second
26 time. The salesperson who persuaded me to invest identified
27 himself as Don Neff. Mr. Neff said that he would help me obtain
28

1 a license to provide paging services in Chicago, Illinois.
2 Attached as Exhibit 2 is a document reflecting my payment of
3 \$9,990 to get this license. Mr. Neff told me that the \$9,990
4 price was lowered from On Line's regular price.

5 12. Mr. Neff explained to me that a license to provide
6 services in Chicago was a particularly valuable license.
7 According to Mr. Neff, the license was available only because
8 another investor had been rejected after he was found to have
9 committed a felony. He suggested that the license would be
10 bought up immediately, and no more would be available for
11 Chicago. Mr. Neff said that another investor who acquired a
12 license for Chicago got \$200,000 for it. He implied that the
13 license I would be receiving was comparable to that license.

14 13. Over the course of my relationship with On Line, I
15 received various documents. These include a promotional
16 brochure, which I received early in my relationship with On Line,
17 A true and correct of the brochure and other documents that I
18 received from On Line are attached as Exhibit 3.

19 14. Recently, I received paging licenses for both Nashville
20 and Chicago. True and correct copies of these documents are
21 attached as Exhibits 4 and 5, respectively. I have not yet
22 received any offers to buy or lease these licenses.

23 I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
24 is true and correct.

25
26 Dated: October 19, 1995




~~_____~~

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

4. Mr. Alexander said that On Line would help me apply for paging licenses. My understanding from talking to Mr. Alexander is that each license would enable the licensee to control a frequency in a particular area. In late-June and early July 1995, I applied for four licenses through On Line. I purchased a license for Washington, D.C. for which I paid \$12,990, a license for Atlanta, GA, for which I paid \$9,990, a license for Honolulu, HI, for which I paid \$5,990, and a license for New York City, for which I paid \$12,990. I paid for these licenses in two checks, totalling \$41,960. Attached as Exhibit 1 are true and correct copies of the receipts for the checks.

1 5. I purchased the paging licenses with the expectation
2 that I would be able to resell them to paging companies. Mr.
3 Alexander told me that mop and pop investors have become very
4 rich from investing in cellular phones, and the paging licenses
5 he was offering have even better potential. He also told me that
6 I should be a very rich person after I invested, as I would
7 receive many offers to acquire my licenses from paging companies.
8 Mr. Alexander added that after I sold my licenses, I should plan
9 on having enough money that I could build out the towers on new
10 licenses and become even richer.

11 6. Mr. Alexander said that I should expect offers to
12 acquire my licenses from both big paging companies and little
13 companies, and added that I should deal with the big companies.
14 He explained that the FCC does not issue a large number of
15 licenses to the big paging companies because they want the mop
16 and pop investors to have an opportunity to get involved in the
17 market, rather than being pushed out by the big companies. I
18 understood that the big companies would have to come to me (and
19 others like me) to obtain the use of the frequencies they needed
20 to provide a network of paging services.

21 7. To the best of my memory, Mr. Alexander did not discuss
22 with me the potential for this investment to cause me to lose
23 money -- he spoke only about the upside. He clearly implied that
24 I was highly likely to make a profit on the investment.

25 7. Mr. Alexander said that I should be receiving telephone
26 calls directly from companies who were interested in obtaining
27 the use of my licenses. He indicated that I would not have to go
28 out of my way to market the licenses. At one point, he also told

1 me that he would help me get in touch with other clients who have
2 invested so that the licenses we own could be sold jointly.

3 8. Mr. Alexander said that if my licenses expire in a year,
4 he would help me renew the licenses for another two years. He
5 implied that it would be no problem for me to renew my licenses
6 and he would help me do so free of charge.

7 9. Mr. Alexander told me before I invested that licenses
8 were available only for certain cities. For other cities, he
9 claimed they were sold out.

10 10. I do not recall ever hearing such terms as "exclusive"
11 or "shared" licenses. It was my belief when I acquired licenses
12 through On Line that the particular frequencies I was obtaining
13 would be reserved only for me for each of the cities that my
14 licenses would cover.

15 9. I have received phone calls from people who want to help
16 market my licenses for an advance fee and some percentage of the
17 sales price. However, I have not yet received an offer to buy or
18 lease my licenses.

19 10. The amount I invested with On Line was roughly half of
20 my liquid assets.

21 11. I have retained various information that I received
22 either directly from On Line or from other sources, relating to
23 my dealings with On Line. Attached as Exhibits 2-10 are true and
24 correct copies of nine licenses that I received from the FCC
25 serving the four cities listed above. Exhibits 2-4 involve New
26 York City; Exhibits 5-7 involve Washington, D.C.; Exhibits 8-9
27 involve Atlanta; and Exhibit 10 involves Honolulu.

12. Before receiving my licenses, I received various promotional material from On Line and also received various documents involving my license applications. Attached as Exhibit 11 is a copy of On Line's promotional brochure; this copy is true and correct except to the extent it contains handwriting. Attached as Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of a signature page for On Line's Service Agreement (plus a cover letter from On Line). Attached as Exhibit 13 are true and correct copies of application forms that On Line claimed to have filed for me (plus a cover letter from On Line).

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: Oct. 15, 1995

A large, solid black rectangular redaction mark covering the signature of the declarant.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF [REDACTED]

I [REDACTED] pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare as follows:

1. My name is [REDACTED] I am a U.S. citizen 78 years of age. I reside at [REDACTED]

2. I have personal knowledge about the facts set forth below.

3. Near the beginning of the Summer of 1995, I received a telephone call from a man who identified himself as Mark Alexander. He said that he was calling me on behalf of On Line Communications ("On Line"). I had dealt with Mr. Alexander previously when he was a salesperson for another telemarketing company named Incomco, in which I invested. Before Mr. Alexander called me, I had never previously heard of On Line.

4. Mr. Alexander persuaded me to invest \$5,990 with On Line for its services to help me acquire a license to provide paging services in the city of Honolulu, Hawaii. Before I had invested, Mr. Alexander had told me that because there was a limited number of licenses available for Honolulu, I should send in my money as soon as possible. I sent On Line a check for \$5,990 on June 28, 1995. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of that check.

5. Mr. Alexander told me that the investment he was offering should make a pretty good profit. He did not to the best of my recollection, talk about the risk involved. He did, however, discuss how it was possible for me to make big money on

1 the investment. Mr. Alexander told me that paging companies can
2 only obtain a limited number of licenses from the FCC, and the
3 remaining number of licenses are sold to the public. Further,
4 Mr. Alexander indicated, the paging companies could not obtain
5 enough licenses from the FCC to provide the services they want to
6 provide. Therefore, Mr. Alexander explained, the paging
7 companies would come to investors like me to fill their needs.

8 6. Mr. Alexander told me that once I get my license, I
9 should expect telephone calls from a lot of businesses who wish
10 to provide paging services. He said that I should not
11 immediately accept the first offer, but rather that I should
12 check with On Line to see if the offer was high enough. I do not
13 recall hearing anything about whether my license would ever
14 expire with the passage of time.

15 7. My purpose in investing with On Line was to sell my
16 license to a business that called me with an offer. I have never
17 intended to build a paging system myself. Mr. Alexander did not
18 discuss that idea with me.

19 8. I do not recall Mr. Alexander using terms such as
20 "exclusive" or "shared" in discussing the type of license I was
21 acquiring. I have been under the impression that, by acquiring a
22 license through On Line's services, I became the only person with
23 a license to provide paging services for a particular frequency
24 and geographical area.

25 9. During the course of my dealings with On Line, I
26 received directly from On Line various promotional materials and
27 application information to fill out. Attached as Exhibit 2 is a
28 true and correct copy of many such documents. On September 23,

1 1995, I received my paging license together with additional
2 documents setting forth "specifications" and "conditions"
3 involving the license. Attached as Exhibit 3 is a true and
4 correct copy of the license and related materials.

5 10. To date, I have yet to receive any offer to acquire my
6 license.

7 I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
8 is true and correct.

9 Dated: 10-19-95 

[REDACTED]

I, [REDACTED]

My [REDACTED]

I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below.

In late Spring 1995, I received a phone call from a man

I spoke to Neff on several different occasions. Neff

Neff told me I was likely to receive multiple offers to

1 approximately double the amount I paid for them, or possibly even
2 more. He also said that paging companies and individuals would
3 offer to lease my licenses and pay me up front at least half as
4 much as I paid On Line, plus an interest in the revenue generated
5 once these companies or individuals built out the system.

6 6. Neff warned me that the paging licenses were going fast
7 and that if I wanted in on the action I had better apply quickly,
8 before there was nothing left.

9 7. After my conversations with Neff, I was under the
10 impression that an investment with On Line included On Line's
11 assistance in placing my licenses with paging companies.



12 8. Neff convinced me to invest with On Line. On July 7,
13 1995, I paid On Line \$12,990 to obtain the licenses I needed to
14 serve New York City. I have attached a true and correct copy of
15 the service agreement reflecting this payment as Exhibit 1.

16 9. Due to the work of On Line, I obtained the paging
17 licenses. The licenses involved the following frequencies:
18 929.06250, 929.16250, 929.08750. I have attached true and
19 correct copies of these licenses as Exhibit 2.

20 10. I have not received any offers to lease or to acquire
21 my licenses.

22 I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
23 is true and correct.

24
25 Dated: Dec 4, 1995

26 
27 
28

On Line Communications, Inc.

Signature Page For Service Agreement

This Signature page is an integral part of the OLC Service Agreement for Paging and Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) 800-900 MHz licenses (the "Agreement"). Your signature below constitutes your offer to OLC to enter into this Agreement in accordance with all the terms and conditions of such Agreement.

Fee for Application Services: (Inclusive of FCC filing fees)

Paging License 1 @ \$ 12,990 X 1 = \$ 12,990
Paging License _____ @ \$ _____ X _____ = \$ _____
SMR License _____ @ \$ _____ X _____ = \$ _____
Other _____ @ \$ _____ X _____ = \$ _____

Total Remitted to OLC

By Bank Wire or Cashier's Check: = \$ 12,990

Location Options:

PAGING: 1. New York City NY Alternate: 1. Washington D.C.
2. Washington DC 2. _____
3. _____ 3. _____
4. _____ 4. _____

SMR: 1. _____ 1. _____
2. _____ 2. _____
3. _____ 3. _____
4. _____ 4. _____

Signature

Trustee

Date

July 8, 1995

ACCEPTANCE

The signature of an authorized agent of OLC below shall constitute its acceptance of the offer of the Applicant and shall constitute a binding agreement between the parties in accordance with the terms of the Agreement as of this _____ day _____ of _____ 19____.

On Line Communications, Inc.

By:

ARM
Authorized Agent

11687
Transaction No./Application No.(s).

DN
Applicant Consultant Name

na
I.D. No

ADIO STATION LICENSE

Radio Service GS PRIVATE CARRIER PAGING

Call Sign WPID611

File Number 9506507804

License Issue Date 09/22/1995

License Expiration Date 09/22/2000

Frequency Advisory No./Service Area 952010041

Pagers - *5000*

950923N 54 1 2Z

HI 96818

REGULATORY STATUS: PMRS

Station Technical Specifications

FCC I.D.	Frequencies (MHz)	Station Class	No. of Units	Emission Designator	Output Power (Watts)	E.R.P. (Watts)	Ground Elev.	Ant. Hgt. To Top	Antenna Latitude	Antenna Longitude
1:	929.08750	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	15	62	40-39-24	073-56-30
2:	929.08750	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	88	91	40-49-22	073-28-46
3:	929.08750	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	27	138	40-48-27	073-10-48
4:	929.08750	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	34	134	40-48-07	073-17-06
5:	929.08750	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	20	39	40-46-43	073-05-49
6:	929.08750	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	77	89	40-46-23	073-26-31

TRANSMITTER STREET ADDRESS

CITY

COUNTY

STATE

1: CLARKSON AVE
 2: CONVENT RD
 3: 1.6 MI SE
 4: 920 CROOKED HILL RD
 5: 610 JOHNSON AVE
 6: 1.7 MI SW OF MELVILLE

NEW YORK
 SYOSSET
 HAUPPAUGE
 BRENTWOOD
 ISLIP
 PLAINVIEW

NEW YORK
 NASSAU
 SUFFOLK
 SUFFOLK
 SUFFOLK
 NASSAU

NY
 NY
 NY
 NY
 NY
 NY

PAINTING AND LIGHTING SPECIFICATIONS

SITE 1: SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS: 1 2
 SITE 2: SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS: 1 3 11 21
 SITE 3: SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS: 1 3 4 13 21
 SITE 4: SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS: 1 3 12 21
 SITE 5: SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS: 1 2

PAGE 1 OF 2



FEDERAL
 COMMUNICATIONS
 COMMISSION

This authorization becomes invalid and must be returned to the Commission if the stations are not placed in operation within eight months, unless an extension of time has been granted. EXCEPTIONS: 1) 800 MHz trunked and certain 900 MHz station licenses cancel automatically if not constructed within 1 year 2) IVDS authorizations automatically cancel if service is not made available in accordance with Section 95.833(a) of the Commission's Rules 3) There are no time limitations for placing GMRS stations in operation.

FCC 574-L April 1995

RADIO STATION LICENSE

Radio Service GS PRIVATE CARRIER PAGING

License Issue Date: 09/22/1995

Call Sign: WPID611

File Number: 9508507304

License Expiration Date: 09/22/2000

Frequency Advisory No./Service Area: 952010041

Pagers - *5000*

950923N 54 2 22

HI 95818

REGULATORY STATUS: PMRS

Station Technical Specifications

FCC I.D.	Frequencies (MHz)	Station Class	No. of Units	Emission Designator	Output Power (Watts)	E.R.P. (Watts)	Ground Eleva	Ant. Hgt. To Tip	Antenna Latitude	Antenna Longitude
PAINTING AND LIGHTING SPECIFICATIONS										
SITE 6: SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS: 1 3 11 21										
CONTROL POINTS: 1597 LEHIA ST HONOLULU HI										
CONTROL POINT PHONE: 808-422-4212										
The latitude/longitude are authorized in North American Datum 1927 (NAD27). Additionally, the antenna height to tip, ground elevation, AAT and area of operation units are authorized in metric.										
EMISSION DESIGNATOR(S) CONVERTED TO CONFORM TO DESIGNATOR(S) SET OUT IN PART 2 OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES.										

PAGE 2 OF 2



FEDERAL
COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION

This authorization becomes invalid and must be returned to the Commission if the stations are not placed in operation within eight months, unless an extension of time has been granted. EXCEPTIONS: 1) 800 MHz trunked and certain 900 MHz station licenses cancel automatically if not constructed within 1 year 2) IVDS authorizations automatically cancel if service is not made available in accordance with Section 95.833(a) of the Commission's Rules 3) There are no time limitations for placing GMRS stations in operation.

FCC 574-L April 1995

RADIO STATION LICENSE

Radio Service GS PRIVATE CARRIER PAGING

Call Sign WPID615

File Number 9508507805

License Issue Date 09/22/1995

License Expiration Date 09/22/2000

Frequency Advisory No./Service Area 952010040

Pagers **5000*

950923N 55 1 22

HI 96818

REGULATORY STATUS: PMRS

Station Technical Specifications

FCC I.D.	Frequencies (MHz)	Station Class	No. of Units	Emission Designator	Output Power (Watts)	E.R.P. (Watts)	Ground Eleva	Ant. Hgt. To Tip	Antenna Latitude	Antenna Longitude
1:	929.16250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	27	12 24	40-44-16	073-53-25
2:	929.16250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	6	235 228	40-42-23	074-00-40
3:	929.16250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	8	52 49	40-40-03	073-47-56
4:	929.16250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	3	43 38	40-39-36	073-46-26
5:	929.16250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	6	30 27	40-39-45	073-50-03
6:	929.16250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	92	91 174	40-42-03	073-56-33

TRANSMITTER STREET ADDRESS

CITY

COUNTY

STATE

1: 5-25 65TH PL

WOODSIDE

QUEENS

NY

2: 20 EXCHANGE PL

MANHATTAN

NEW YORK

NY

3: 135-30 140TH ST

QUEENS

QUEENS

NY

4: 147TH AVE & ROCKWAY BLVD

JAMAICA

QUEENS

NY

5: 157-21 100 ST

HOWARD BEACH

QUEENS

NY

6: 170 BUFFALO AVE

BROOKLYN

KINGS

NY

CONTROL POINTS: 1597 LEHIA ST HONOLULU HI

CONTROL POINT PHONE: 808-422-4212

The latitude/longitude are authorized in North American Datum 1927 (NAD27).
Additionally, the antenna height to tip, ground elevation, AAT and area of
operation units are authorized in metric.

PAGE 1 OF 2



FEDERAL
COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION

This authorization becomes invalid and must be returned to the Commission if the stations are not placed in operation within eight months, unless an extension of time has been granted. EXCEPTIONS: 1) 800 MHz trunked and certain 900 MHz station licenses cancel automatically if not constructed within 1 year 2) IVDS authorizations automatically cancel if service is not made available in accordance with Section 95.833(a) of the Commission's Rules 3) There are no time limitations for placing GMRS stations in operation.

FCC 574-L April 1995

License Issue Date: 09/22/1995

Call Sign: WPID615

File Number 9508507805

License Expiration Date: 09/22/2000

Frequency Advisory No./Service Area: 952010040

Pagers - *5000*

950923N 55 2 2Z

HI 96818

REGULATORY STATUS: PMRS

Station Technical Specifications

FCC I.D.	Frequencies (MHz)	Station Class	No. of Units	Emission Designator	Output Power (Watts)	E.R.P. (Watts)	Ground Eleva	Ant. Hgt. To Tip	Antenna Latitude	Antenna Longitude
EMISSION DESIGNATOR(S) CONVERTED TO CONFORM TO DESIGNATOR(S) SET OUT IN PART 2 OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES.										

PAGE 2 OF 2



**FEDERAL
COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION**

This authorization becomes invalid and must be returned to the Commission if the stations are not placed in operation within eight months, unless an extension of time has been granted. EXCEPTIONS: 1) 800 MHz trunked and certain 900 MHz station licenses cancel automatically if not constructed within 1 year 2) IVDS authorizations automatically cancel if service is not made available in accordance with Section 95.833(a) of the Commission's Rules 3) There are no time limitations for placing GMRS stations in operation.

FCC 574-L April 1995

DIO STATION LICENSE

Licensee Name: TOPIC, GEORGE L

Radio Service: GS PRIVATE CARRIER PAGING

License Issue Date: 09/21/1995

Call Sign: WPID563

File Number: 9508507806

License Expiration Date: 09/21/2000

Frequency Advisory No./Service Area: 952010039

Pages: **5000*

950922N 159 1 2Z

REGULATORY STATUS: PMRS

Station Technical Specifications

FCC I.D.	Frequencies (MHz)	Station Class	No. of Units	Emission Designator	Output Power (Watts)	E.R.P. (Watts)	Ground Eleva	Ant. Hgt. To Top	Antenna Latitude	Antenna Longitude
1:	929.06250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	25	52	40-51-49	072-44-17
2:	929.06250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	6	46	40-48-07	073-55-05
3:	929.06250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	55	55	40-46-44	073-48-05
4:	929.06250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	3	12	40-46-24	073-50-46
5:	929.06250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	73	98	40-46-30	073-26-40
6:	929.06250	FB6	1	20K0F3E 20K0F1D 20K0F2D	200.000	600.000	81	63	40-49-48	073-21-12

TRANSMITTER STREET ADDRESS

CITY

COUNTY

STATE

1: 3.7 KM DUE N

EASTPORT

SUFFOLK

NY

2: 656 E 133RD ST

BRONX

NEW YORK

NY

3: 17-45 CLINTONVILLE ST

WHITESTONE

SUFFOLK

NY

4: LONG ISLAND

COLLEGE POINT

QUEENS

NY

5: ROUND SWAMP RD

GARDEN CITY

NASSAU

NY

6: 1.9 MI E

HUNTINGTON

SUFFOLK

NY

PAINTING AND LIGHTING SPECIFICATIONS

SITE	1:	SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS:	1	3	11	21
SITE	3:	SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS:	2			
SITE	5:	SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS:	1	3	12	21
SITE	6:	SEE ATTACHED FORM 715/715A PARAGRAPHS:	1	3	11	21 22

PAGE 1 OF 2



FEDERAL
COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION

This authorization becomes invalid and must be returned to the Commission if the stations are not placed in operation within eight months, unless an extension of time has been granted. EXCEPTIONS: 1) 800 MHz trunked and certain 900 MHz station licenses cancel automatically if not constructed within 1 year 2) IVDS authorizations automatically cancel if service is not made available in accordance with Section 95.833(a) of the Commission's Rules 3) There are no time limitations for placing GMRS stations in operation.

FCC 574-L April 1995

DIO STATION LICENSE

Licensee Name: TOPIC, GEORGE L

Radio Service: GS PRIVATE CARRIER PAGING

License Issue Date: 09/21/1995

Call Sign: WPID563

File Number: 9508507806

License Expiration Date: 09/21/2000

Frequency Advisory No./Service Area: 952010039

Pagers: *5000*

950922N 159 2 2Z

HONOLULU

REGULATORY STATUS: PMRS

Station Technical Specifications

FCC I.D.	Frequencies (MHz)	Station Class	No. of Units	Emission Designator	Output Power (Watts)	E.R.P. (Watts)	Ground Eleva	Ant. Hgt. To Tip	Antenna Latitude	Antenna Longitude
CONTROL POINTS: 1597 LEHIA ST HONOLULU HI CONTROL POINT PHONE: 808-422-4212										
ADMIN NOTE: SEE ATTACHED #64 (405149N 72-44-17W)										
The latitude/longitude are authorized in North American Datum 1927 (NAD27). Additionally, the antenna height to tip, ground elevation, AAT and area of operation units are authorized in metric.										
EMISSION DESIGNATOR(S) CONVERTED TO CONFORM TO DESIGNATOR(S) SET OUT IN PART 2 OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES.										

PAGE 2 OF 2



FEDERAL
COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION

This authorization becomes invalid and must be returned to the Commission if the stations are not placed in operation within eight months, unless an extension of time has been granted. EXCEPTIONS: 1) 800 MHz trunked and certain 900 MHz station licenses cancel automatically if not constructed within 1 year 2) IVDS authorizations automatically cancel if service is not made available in accordance with Section 95.833(a) of the Commission's Rules 3) There are no time limitations for placing GMRS stations in operation.

FCC 574-L April 1995